



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,740	01/03/2002	Adam T. Lake	42390.P13351	1131
•	7590 11/16/2005		EXAM	AINER .
James H. S	alter		PITARO	, RYAN F
Blakely, Sol	coloff, Taylor & Zafman N	ame LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2174	
Los Angeles	s, CA · 90025-1030			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Occurrence	10/039,740	LAKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ryan F. Pitaro	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status ·		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 At	igust 2005				
	action is non-final.	·			
_ /	, _				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
{ ₁					
Attachment(s)		-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 have bee examined.

Response to Amendment

- 2. This communication is responsive to Amendment B, filed 8/30/2005.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application. Claims 1,10,17,25 are independent claims. In the Amendment A, Claims 1-16 were amended. This action is non-Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4,7-20,23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Megiddo et al ("Megiddo", US 6,892,181).

As per independent claim 1, Megiddo discloses a method to view information comprising: displaying a first web page having dynamically changing information content segments (Column 4 lines 37-45); dynamically changing one or more of the information content segments (Column 1 lines 31-45); ordering a list of information content segments that have previously appeared on a web page, wherein said web

Application/Control Number: 10/039,740

Art Unit: 2174

page is displayed in a first area of an information display (Figure 3b); and includes the information content segments that have previously appeared on the web page (Figure 3b, old ads); and displaying said list of information content segments to be viewed concurrently with said web page (Column 4 lines 16-20, Figure 3b).

As per claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo discloses a method wherein said ordering follows a chronological order of display (Figure 3b, old ads listed first, new ads listed second).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo discloses a method associating said first area of said information display with said list of information content segments (Figure 3b).

As per claim 4, which is dependent on claim 3, Megiddo discloses a method wherein said associating places said first area of said information display proximate to said list of information content segments (Figure 3b).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo discloses a method further comprising navigating through said list of information content segments (Column 4 lines 31-37).

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 7, Megiddo discloses a method wherein navigating is performed with at least one of a scroll bar, a button, and a voice command (Col 4 lines 31-37; clickable small square).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo discloses a method wherein said information content is an advertisement (Figure 3b, 320,322).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,740

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 10,17,25 are individually similar in scope to claim 1 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 11,18,26 are individually similar in scope to claim 2 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 12,19 are individually similar in scope to claim 3 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 13,20 are individually similar in scope to claim 4 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 14,23,27 are individually similar in scope to claim 7 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 15,24 are individually similar in scope to claim 8 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 9 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,740 Page 5

Art Unit: 2174

5. Claims 5,6,21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megiddo et al ("Megiddo", US 6,892,181) in further view of Rice ("Rice", US# 6486891).

As per claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo fails to disclose a method wherein said information display is a projection of light on a surface. However Rice teaches a method wherein said information display is a projection of light on a surface (Column 4 lines 15-16). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Megiddo's method with Rice's teaching. Motivation to so do would have been to allow the display of the information to display advertisements to an Internet user browsing the World Wide Web.

As per claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Megiddo fails to disclose a method wherein said information display is comprised of electrically powered display elements. However, Rice teaches a method wherein said information display is comprised of electrically powered display elements (Column 4 lines 17-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Megiddo's method with Rice's teaching. Motivation to so do would have been to allow the display of the information to display advertisements to an Internet user browsing the World Wide Web.

Claim 21 is similar in scope to claim 5 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 22 is similar in scope to claim 6 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/039,740 Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to claim 1 and those similar in scope the Applicant argues that Megiddo fails to disclose a web page with dynamically changing information content segements. And that returning to a previously viewed web page did not necessarily provide the previously viewed advertisements. However, this is exactly what the invention of Megiddo is set out to do. Megiddo states:

Due to limited space on the displayed web page and the fact that a web page is only viewed for a short period of time, advertisers try to change the displayed ads quickly. The ads can either be part of one dynamic "gif" file or an applet which fetches more ads. The problem with this method is that some times a user may indeed be interested in one of the ads but misses it and then has no way of returning to it. The problem is even more severe when the user goes to a first URL, sees an ad there, then goes to a second URL, and then returns to the first URL. By the time the user returns to the first URL, the ad has been replaced and leaves no opportunity for retrieval.

The present invention overcomes the above mentioned drawbacks by providing a method and system that increases the effectiveness of advertising by allowing the user to return and request previously displayed ads that are of interest.

Therefore, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant for at least the reasons expressed above.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm M-Th, and alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Pitaro Patent Examiner Art Unit 2174

RFP

ST LUU DE MARY EXAMINER